# Naphthalene-Catalysed Lithiation of Functionalized **Chloroarenes: Regioselective Preparation and Reactivity of Functionalized Lithioarenes**

Albert Guijarro, Diego J. Ramón and Miguel Yus\*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Alicante, Apdo. 99, 03080 Alicante, Spain

(Received in UK 21 September 1992)

Abstract: The lithiation of different functionalized chloroarenes (dichlorobenzenes 1 and 3, mono and dichlorophenols 9 and 14, and chloropivalanilides 18) in the presence of a catalytic amount of naphthalene leads to the corresponding functionalized lithioarenes, which react with electrophiles to give the expected polyfunctionalized aromatic molecules 2, 4, 10, 19, 21, 22 and 24 in a regioselective manner. In the case of starting from chlorinated phenols or anilides a deprotonation of the functional group is carried out prior to the lithiation process; only for 2-chloropivalanilide 180 a coupling reaction leading to 2-n-butylpivalanilide is observed when an excess of n-butyllithium is used in the deprotonation step.

# **Introduction**

Functionalized organolithium compounds<sup>1</sup> are useful intermediates for the construction of organic molecules because their reaction with electrophilic reagents usually yields polyfunctionalized structures. In the case of functionalized aromatic organolithium derivatives, the most common methodology for their preparation consists in the bromine/lithium exchange between the appropriate brominated precursor and an alkyllithium reagent as lithiating agent<sup>2</sup>. Another possibility, limited to the preparation of lithioarenes functionalized at the ortho position, involves the so called "ortho-lithiation", in which a proton at this position is removed by means of a strong base, usually an alkyllithium reagent<sup>3,4</sup>. However, although chlorinated arenes are in general more available, cheaper and more stable than the corresponding brominated compounds, they have been scarcely used<sup>5</sup> as starting materials for functionalized lithioarenes, probably due to their low reactivity compared to the brominecontaining analogues. On the other hand, we have recently described <sup>6</sup> that the chlorine/lithum exchange can be effectively carried out under very mild reaction conditions in the presence of a catalytic amount of an arene, naphthalene being the most generally used?. We explore in this paper the naphthalene-catalysed lithiation of functionalized chloroarenes and its application to the preparation of polyfunctionalized aromatic compounds.

### **Results and Discussion**

We first studied the direct lithiation of chlorobenzene (1)<sup>8</sup> with lithium powder in THF at -78°C: after four hours under these reaction conditions the lithiation failed (Scheme 1 and Table 1, entry 1). The reaction took place at -55°C and after one hour a 76% of the reaction product with pivalaldehyde (2) was isolated (Table 1, entry 3). However, in the presence of a catalytic amount (3%) of naphthalene the process yielded 98% of compound 2 after 45 min at  $-78^{\circ}$ C (Table 1, entry 2).



**Scheme 1.** *Reagents*: 1, L<sub>1</sub> or Li-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub> cat.  $(3\%;$  see Table 1); ii, BuCHO; iii, H<sub>2</sub>O-HCl.

Entry	Starting material	Reaction conditions			Producta	
		T (°C) <sub>b</sub>	t(h)	naphthalene $(\%)$	no.	yield (%)d
		-78	4	-	2	0e
2		$-78$	0.75	3	2	98
3		$-55$			2	76

**Table 1.** Lithiatton of Chlorobenzene **(1)** and Reactton with Plvalaldehyde.

a Compound 2 was >95% pure by g.1.c. b Bath temperature correspondmg to the lithration step.  $\circ$  Reactron time in the lithration process.  $\circ$  Isolated yield after flash chromatography base on the starting material 1.  $\epsilon$  Only the starting material 1 was isolated  $(>90\%)$ .

#### *Catalytic Lithtiion of Dichkwobenzenes*

We then studied the lithiation of the three dichlorobenzenes 3 (Scheme 2). In the case of 30 we never observed the mcorporation of two electrophiles into the aromahc ring (Table 2, entnes 1 and 2); thus, even at -105°C only the first electrophrle.cyclohexanone or pivalaldehyde, reacted yieldmg compounds **4a** and 2, respectively, m which no incorporation of deutenum -commg from deuterium oxrde used as a second electrophdewas observed. Two possible explanations can be given: (a) the monolithiated species 5 reacts rapidly with the first electrophile to give compound 6, which very fast suffers lithiation to 7 followed by proton abstraction from

the reaction media<sup>9</sup> to yield products 4a or 2; (b) the intermediate 5 abstracts rapidly a proton yielding chlorobenzene  $(1)$ , which behaves as above. This last possibility is more probable than the evolution of 5 to the intermediate 810, which could give phenyllithium by proton abstraction. Since we never detected compound of the type 6 we find more probable the way (b).



**Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: i, L1, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub> cat. (3%), -78°C; ii,** E<sup>1</sup>=BuCHO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CO, -78°C; iii, E<sup>2</sup>=H<sub>2</sub>O, D<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>2</sub>CO, -78 to 20°C; iv,  $H<sub>2</sub>O-HCl.$ 



In the case of the startmg material *3m, we* think that the hthiation occurs in two steps. Thus, when the excess of lithium powder was filtered off at low temperature after the first lithiation, the reaction with ptvalaldehyde gave the corresponding chlorodenvative 4c (Table 2, entry 7). This fact allowed us the use of two different electrophiles in a two-steps process to give compounds 2 or 4b (Table 2, entries 4-6). In order to compare the catalytic effect of naphthalene, the same process was carried out with or without catalyst (Table 2, entnes 5 and 6): m absence of naphthalene the temperature should be around -55°C and the yield was lower (32%) than in the catalytic process (56%).

The behaviour of p-dichlorobenzene  $(3p)$  was similar to that of compound  $3m$ : the reaction could be stopped after the first step (Table 2, entry 10) and the use of two different electrophtles yielded the expected products 2 and 4 (Table 2, entnes 8 and 9).

# **Catalytic Lithiation of Chlorophenols**

The lithration of  $o$ -, m- and p-chlorophenol (9) was carried out at  $0^{\circ}$ C after treating the starting material with n-butyllithium in order to form the corresponding phenolate (Scheme 3); the reaction of the lithiated phenoxide 11 with pivalaldehyde afforded the expected products 10. Interesting features of this reaction are: (a) the yield in the

	benzene	Dichloro-Lithiation T(C)	Electrophiles			Producta			
Entry			E <sub>1</sub>	E <sub>2</sub>	no.	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	yield (%)b	
1 <sup>c</sup>	30	$-78$	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> CO	D <sub>2</sub> O	4а	$(\dot{C}H_2)$ <sub>5</sub> $\dot{C}OH$	Hq	64 <sup>d</sup>	
2 <sub>e</sub>	30	$-105$	<b>BuCHO</b>	$D_2O$	$\overline{2}$	<b>BuCHOH</b>	H <sup>d</sup>	63d	
3 <sub>f</sub>	3 <sub>m</sub>	$-78$	<b>ButCHO</b>	H <sub>2</sub> O	-8			>90s	
4	3 <sub>m</sub>	$-78$	<b>BuCHO</b>	H <sub>2</sub> O	$\overline{2}$	<b>Bu</b> CHOH	н	95	
5 <sup>f</sup>	3 <sub>m</sub>	-55	<b>BuCHO</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> CO	4b	<b>BuCHOH</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> COH	32	
6	3 <sub>m</sub>	$-78$	<b>ButCHO</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> CO	4b	<b>ButCHOH</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> COH	56	
7	3 <sub>m</sub>	$-78$	<b>BuCHO</b>		4c	<b>ButCHOH</b>	Cl <sup>h</sup>	61	
8	3p	$-78$	<b>BuCHO</b>	H <sub>2</sub> O	$\mathbf{2}$	<b>ButCHOH</b>	H	96	
9	3p	$-78$	<b>ButCHO</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> CO	4d	<b>BuCHOH</b>	Et <sub>2</sub> COH	42	
10	3p	$-78$	<b>BuCHO</b>		4e	<b>BuCHOH</b>	Cl <sub>h</sub>	56	

Table 2. Lithiation of Dichlorobenzenes 3 and Reaction with Electrophiles (E1 and E2). Obtention of Compounds 4.

a All isolated products were >95% pure by g.l.c. b Isolated yield after flash chromatography based on the starting dichlorobenzene 3. c Cyclohexanone was added before the starting material 30. d No deuterium incorporation was observed. A mixture of diethyl ether and THF (9/1) was used as solvent. f Only lithium powder, without naphthalene, was used in the lithiation step. 8 Starting material 3m was the only reaction product isolated. h The excess of lithium powder was filtered off at -78°C before adding pivalaldehyde: under these reaction conditions only one Cl/L<sub>1</sub> exchange took place.



Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: 1, BunL1; 11, L1, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub> cat. (3%), 0°C; 111, BuCHO, 0 to 20°C, 1v, H<sub>2</sub>O-HCl.

case of the o-derivative is very poor (Table 3, entry 1), due to the decomposition of the corresponding diamon of the type 11, mainly by proton abstraction; (b) when the process was carried out without using a catalytic amount of naphthalene the reaction did not work (Table 3, entry 2); (c) alternatively, the reaction can be performed

"directly" without adding n-butyllithium in the first step. the yield being in this case lower (Table 3, entries 3 and 4). The problem with the  $o$ -intermediate can be easily overcome by transforming the starting material  $90$  into its MEMO-derivative 12<sup>11</sup> and performing the tandem lithiation-reaction with pivalaldehyde as above to yield compound 13 (90%), which was finally hydrolyzed with  $6$  N HCl to give the corresponding product 10a in 93%. Anyhow, this last process is not too interesting because it is just in this case that the direct *o*-deprotonation<sup>3</sup> of phenol denvatives has found the best synthetic applications <sup>12</sup>. On the other side, the bromine/lithium exchange has been also used for the preparation of  $o$ -lithiated phenol derivatives<sup>13</sup>.



Entry	Chloro- phenol	Lithiation time(h)	<b>Producta</b>	
			no.	yield (%)b
ı	90	6	10a	10
2 <sup>c</sup>	9 <sub>m</sub>	7	10 <sub>b</sub>	Od
3e	9 m	3	10b	45
4	9 <sub>m</sub>		10b	78
5	9p	5	10c	45

**Table 3.** Ltthiatton of Chlorophenols 9 and Reaction with Ptvalaldehyde. Obtention of Compounds 10.

**a** All Isolated products 10 were >95% pure by g.1.c. b Isolated yield after flash chromatography based on the starting chlorophenols 9. c Only lithium powder was used in the lithiation step.  $d$  The starting material  $9m$  was the only reaction product isolated  $(>95%)$ . e This reaction was performed without using *n*-butyllithium in the first step.

We have also studied the lithiation of dichlorophenols 14 using also pivalaldehyde as standard electrophile, under the above described reaction conditions (Scheme 4). In the three cases tried we never got the expected reactton products ansen from the correspondmg tnamons of the type **15;** instead of them, compounds **10** were Isolated as the only reacuon products. Smce we never could detect products of monosubshtutton of the type **16,**  we think that the first diantion 17 took a proton from the solvent to give the corresponding phenoxide derived from 9, which suffered hthiation to **11 as** above, and finally reacted with pivalaldehyde to give products 10. As expected, only the symmetnc drchlorophenol **14a** affords a sole product **lob;** in the other cases mixtures of the corresponding regioisomers **(lOa+lOb or lOa+ltk)** were obtained (Table 4, entries 2 and 3).



Scheme 4. *Reagents and conditions:* i, Bu<sup>n</sup>Li; ii, Li, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub> cat. (3%), 0°C; iii, ButCHO, 0 to 20 $\textdegree$ C; iv, D<sub>2</sub>O; v, H<sub>2</sub>O-HCl.



Table 4. Lithiation of Dichlorophenols 14 and Reaction with Electrophiles. Obtention of Compounds 10.

	Dichloro-	Lithiation	Producta	
Entry	phenol	time(h)	no.	yield (%)b
ı	14a		10b	72
$\overline{2}$	14 <sub>b</sub>		$10b+10a$	$60 + 20$
3	14c	3	$10c+10a$	$59 + 3$

a All isolated products were >95% pure by g.l.c. b Isolated yield after flash chromatography based on the starting dichlorophenols 14.

# *Catalytic Lithiation of Chloropivalanili&s*

In the last part of this study we first attempted transformation of chloroanilines into the corresponding diamons, but the reaction failed: under different reaction conditions tried either the chlorine/lithium exchange did not take place or when the lithiation occurred (after 2-3 days) a lithium/hydrogen exchange took place yielding aniline as the reaction product. We then tried the lithiation of the corresponding amides. As expected<sup>14</sup>, the acidity of hydrogen atoms at the  $\alpha$ -position in the anude group was incompatible with the carbon-lithium bond. Thus, chlorinated formanilides, benzamilides and pivalanilides were tested; in the two first cases deprotection of the amide group or deprotonation on the aromatic ring and decomposition took place preventing the formation of the expected dihthtated amides. However, ptvalanthdes **18** were adequate startmg materials for the reaction; thus, the deprotonation of compounds 18 with  $n$ -butyllithium followed by catalytic lithiation at low temperature led to the formation of the corresponding dianion of the type 20, which by reaction with different electrophiles yielded the expected compounds 19 (Scheme 5 and Table 5). As electrophtles carbonyl compounds, benzomtnle or phenyl isocyanate were used; in the last two cases it is necessary to filter off the excess of lithium prior to the addition of the electrophile in order to avoid undesirable by-processes. Another important aspect of this reaction is that the catalytic amount of naphthalene should be bigger  $(-12%)$  than in the above described cases in order to get the yields shown in Table 5.



**Scheme 5.** *Reagents and conditions: 1, BunLi; in, Li, C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub> cat. (12%), -78°C; iii,* E $+=$ PrCHO, BuCHO, Et<sub>2</sub>CO, (CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>5</sub>CO, PhCN, PhNCO, -78 to 20 $^{\circ}$ C; 1v, H<sub>2</sub>O.





**Table 5.** Lithiation of Chloroanilides 18 and Reaction with Electtophiles. Obtention of **Compounds 19,21** and 22.

		Electrophile $E^+$	Producta			
Entry	Chloro- anilide		no.	Х.	yield (%)b	
1	18 <sub>o</sub>	<b>ButCHO</b>	19a	2-(BuCHOH)	75	
$\overline{2}$	180	Et <sub>2</sub> CO	19 <sub>b</sub>	$2-(Et2COH)$	90	
3	180	PhCN	21		75	
4	18 <sub>o</sub>	PhNCO	22		40	
5	18 <sub>m</sub>	PrCHO	19c	3-(PrCHOH)	65	
6	18 <sub>m</sub>	<b>BuCHO</b>	19d	3-(ButCHOH)	68	
7	18 <sub>m</sub>	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub> CO	19e	$3 - [(CH2)5COH]$	71	
8	18 <sub>m</sub>	PhCN	19f	$3-(PhCO)$	60	
9	18p	PrCHO	19g	4-(PrCHOH)	71	
10	18p	<b>ButCHO</b>	19h	4-(ButCHOH)	70	
11	18p	Et <sub>2</sub> CO	19i	4-(Et <sub>2</sub> COH)	70	
12	18p	PhCN	19j	$4-(PhCO)$	60	

a All isolated products 19, 21 and 22 were >95% pure by g.l.c. b Isolated yield after flash chromatography based on the starting chloroanilide 18.

Only startmg from compound **180 we** found a rare behavrour depending on the amount of n-butylhthium added in the deprotonation step: using an excess of the deprotonating agent (>2 eq) the reaction product was, after hydrolysis, the corresponding *alkylated product* 23 in 90% isolated yield <sup>15</sup>. Considering that no reaction was found in the presence of several nucleophrles (sodrum amide, sodium methoxide, hthrum dusopropylanude, or the lithium enolate of acetone), we discard a S<sub>RN</sub>1 mechanism or the participation of an aryne as intermediate. On

the other hand, a  $S_N$ Ar mechanism is not probable due to the negative charge borne by the amide group before the attack of the nucleophile. So. we do not find any satisfactory explanation for this observed fact.

From the results obtained in this part, the  $o$ -functionalized systems<sup>14,16</sup> are the most interesting ones for obtaining benzo-fused compounds; thus, with benzonitrile or phenyl isocyanate compounds 21 or 22 [precursor of  $4-(3H)$ quinazolinones<sup>17</sup> were directly isolated, respectively, after work-up. Finally, we could prepare the compound 24 (80%) by the same methodology<sup>18</sup> starting from 25 (easily prepared from  $o$ -chloroaniline and ethyl chloroformate) and using benzonitrile as electrophile. Compounds of the type 24 have been used as antiinflamatory agents<sup>19</sup>.

## Conclusions

From the results described in this paper we conclude that naphthalene is an efficient catalyst for the lithiation of functionalized chloroarenes with lithium powder and under mild reaction conditions. This methodology is adequate for preparing (regioselectively) functionalized lithioarenes, which by reaction with electrophiles give polyfunctionalized arenes.

#### **Experimental Part**

**General-** M.p.s are uncorrected and were measured on a Reichert thermovar apparatus. 1.r. spectra were determined with a Pye Unicam SP3-200 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C n.m.r. spectra were recorded in a Bruker AC-300 using CDCl<sub>3</sub> as solvent (unless otherwise stated) and SiMe<sub>4</sub> as internal standard; chemical shifts are given in  $\delta$  (ppm) and the coupling constants (J) are measured in Hz. M.s. (e.i.) were recorded with a Hewlett Packard EM/GC HP-5988A spectrometer. Microanalysis were performed by the Microanalyses Service of the University of Alicante. The purity of volatile drsttlled products and the chromatographic analysis (g.1.c.) were determined with a Hewlett Packard HP-5990 or a Konik Kromatix KNK- 2000 instrument, both equipped with a flame tomzation detector. Thin layer chromatography (t.l.c.) was carried out on Scheleicher & Schnell F1500/LS 254 plates coated with a 0.2 mm layer of silica gel using different mixtures of hexane/ethyl acetate as eluent; Rr values are given under these conditions. Starting chloroarenes 1, 3, 9 and 14, naphthalene, lithium powder and the electrophiles were comercially available (Fluka, Aldrich). Starting compounds 12<sup>11g</sup> and chloroanilides 190<sup>20</sup>, 19 $m^{21}$ , 19 $p^{20,22}$  and 26<sup>23</sup> were prepared according to the literature procedures. Solvents were dried as usually.

*Catalytic Lithiation of Chloroarenes* **1** *and 3 and Reaction with Electrophiles* . *General Procedure for Compounds* 2 and 4.- To a cooled (-78°C) green suspension of lithium powder (14 mmol) and naphtalene (0.06 mmol) in THF (10 ml) was added the corresponding chlorinated aromatic compound 1 or 3 (2,5 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for a period of 0.75-1.25 h. Then the corresponding electrophile was added (2.5 mmol). In the case of compound 3, after 0.5 h stirring at -78°C the excess of lithium powder was filtered off at the same temperature and the second electrophile (3.0 mmol) was added (see Table 2)<sup>24</sup>. In both cases, the reaction mixture was stirred allowing the temperature to rise to 20<sup>o</sup>C during *ca*. 3h. The resulting mixture was then hydrolysed with methanol, neutralized with 2N hydrochloric acid and extracted with ether  $(2x10 \text{ ml})$ . The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvents were evaporated (15 Torr) to give a residue, which was chromatographied (silica gel; hexane/ethyl acetate) to yield the corresponding products 2 and 4. Yields are given in Tables 1 and 2. Physical and spectroscopic data follow.

*2,2-Dimethyl-I-phenyf-I-propanol (2)25: Rfl.40* (hexanejethyl acetate: 9/l); v (film) 3440 (OH), 3060,3040, 1600 (Ph), and 1050 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0 90 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.00 (s, 1 H, OH), 4,35 (s, 1 H, CHO), and 7.25 (s, 5 H, Ph); 6~ 25.75, 35.3, 81.95, 126.9, 127.2, 127.45. and 142.15; *m/z* 165 (M++l. I%), 164 (M+, lo), 1OS (lo), 107 (lOO), 105 (15), 79 (77), 78 (14). 77 (61). 57 (13), 51 (15), and 41 (22). *I-Phenyl-l-cyclohexanol* (4a)<sup>26</sup>:  $R_f=0.56$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1); m.p. 60-62°C (hexane/ether); v (melted) 3340 (OH), 3040, 1600 (Ph), and 970 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O),  $\delta_H$  1.30-2.20 (m, 11 H, 5xCH<sub>2</sub>, OH), and 7.00-7.50 (m,

5H, Ph);  $\delta_C$  22.15, 25.45, 38.8, 73.1, 124.55, 126.65, 128.15, and 149.4;  $m/z$  177 (M++1, 10%), 176 (M+, 71), 134 (24), 133 (100), 120 (62), 115 (16), 105 (71), 91 (21), 78 (24), 77 (48), 55 (47), and 51 (15).

 $3-3-2$ , 2-Dimethyl-1-hydroxypropyl)phenyl]-3-pentanol (4b):  $R_f=0.27$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1);  $\gamma$  (film) 3420 (OH), 3020, 1600 (Ph), 1040, and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0.75 (t, J=7.25, 6 H, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.80 (q, J=7.25, 2 H, 2xCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.10 (s, 2 H, 2xOH), 4.35 (s, 1 H, CHO), and 7.10-7.30 (m, 4 H, Ph);  $\delta$  ( 7.75, 25.95, 35.0, 35.65, 77.35, 82.45, 124.45, 124.8, 125.45, 127.15, 141.75, and 144.9;  $m/z$  250 (M<sup>+</sup>, <1%), 221 (40), 194 (16), 193 (100), 105 (12), 91 (14), 87 (20), and 57 (29).

 $1-(3-Chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol$  (4e)<sup>27</sup>:  $R_f=0.53$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1); b.p. 75-78°C at 0.01 Torr; ν (film) 3420 (OH), 3040, 1590 (Ph), and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O); δ<sub>H</sub> 0.90 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.20 (s, 1 H, OH), 4.33 (s, 1H, CHO), and 7.10-7.35 (m, 4 H, Ph);  $\delta$  (25.8, 35.6, 81.7, 125.8, 127.35, 127.65, 128.7, 135.5, and 144.21;  $m/z$  200 (M++2, 5%), 198 (M+, 14), 144 (20), 143 (64), 142 (63), 141 (100), 139 (12), 115 (16), 113 (44), 107 (14), 77 (76), 57 (65), 51 (15), and 41 (25).

 $3-4-2,2-Dimethyl-1-hydroxypropylphenyl-3-pentanol$  (4d):  $R_f=0.36$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1); v (film) 3420 (OH), 3040, 1600 (Ph), 1040, and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0.75 (t, J=7.4, 6 H, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 0.90 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.80 (q, j=7.4, 4 H, 2xCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.25 (s, 2 H, 2xOH), 4.35 (s, 1 H, CHO), 7,23, and 7.28 (2 d, J=8.1, 4 H, Ph);  $\delta_C$  7.75, 25.85, 35.5, 77.25, 82.05, 124.55, 127.1, 140.05, and 144.6;  $m/z$  250 (M+, <1%), 194 (12), 193 (100), 137 (51), 136 (14), 107 (11), 79 (18), 57 (18), and 41 (10).

 $1-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol$  (4e)<sup>28</sup>:  $R_f=0.59$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1); b.p. 90-93°C at 0.01 Torr; y (film) 3420 (OH), 3020, 1600 (Ph), and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0.90 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.25 (s, 1 H, OH), 4.30 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.20, and 7.27 (2 d, J=8.45, 4 H, Ph); m/z 200 (M++2, 1%), 198 (M+, 3), 143 (31), 141  $(100)$ , 113 (16), and 77 (40).

Catalytic Lithiation of Chlorophenols 9 and 14 and Reaction with Electrophiles. General procedure for compounds 10.- To a solution of the corresponding chlorophenol 9 or 14 (2.5 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added a 1.6 M hexane solution of butyllithium (2.6 mmol) at 0°C and the corresponding mixture was stirred during 15 min at the same temperature. The resulting solution was added to a green suspension of lithium powder (14 mmol) and naphthalene (0.06 mmol) in THF  $(5 \text{ ml})$  at  $0^{\circ}$ C and the mixture was stirred for 1-7 h (see Tables 3 and 4) at the same temperature. Then the corresponding electrophile (2.5 mmol) was added. In the case of dichlorophenols 14 after 2 h stirring deuterium oxide was added (0.3 ml) and the temperature was allowed to rise to 20°C overnigth. In both cases, the corresponding reaction mixture was worked up as above for compounds 2 and 4 to give products 10. Products 10a, 10b, and 10c, obtained of a mixture in the case of starting from materials 14b and 14c, could be easily separated by flash chromatography (silica gel; hexane/ethyl acetate). Yields for compounds 10 are given in tables 3 and 4. Analytical, physical and spectroscopic data follow.

2-(2,2-Dimethyl-1-hydroxypropyl)phenol (10a):  $R_f$ =0.67 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2);  $\nu$  (film) 3340 (OH), 3040,

1580 (Ph), 1030, and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0.95 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.15 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 4,50 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.75-6.90, 7.10-7.20 (2 m, 4 H, Ph), and 8.50 (s, 1 H, OH);  $\delta_C$  26.0, 37.2, 84.8, 117.15, 118.7, 123.9, 128.6, 129.65, and 156.1;  $m/z$  181 (M<sup>++1</sup>, 2%), 180 (M<sup>+</sup>, 16), 147 (14), 124 (12), 123 (100), 95 (32), and 77  $(27).$ 

 $3-(2,2-Dimethyl-1-hydroxypropyl)phenol$  (10b):  $R_f=0.74$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 1/4); m.p. 156-159°C (hexane/ether):  $\gamma$  (melted) 3400, 3180 (OH), 3040, 1600 (Ph), 1040, and 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C-O);  $\delta_H$  (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>) 0.90 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.10 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 4,30 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.70, 6.75, 6.80, 7.05 (d, d, s, t, respectively, J=7.8, 4 H, Ph), and 8.20 (s, 1 H, COH);  $\delta_C$  (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>) 26.5, 36.1, 81.9, 114.4, 115.6, 119.9, 128.7, 145.9, and 157.35; m/z 181 (M++1, 2%), 180 (M+, 16), 124 (28), 123 (100), 95 (90), 77 (31), and 41 (10); Anal. calcd for  $C_{11}H_{16}O_2$  · C, 73.30; H, 8.95. Found: C, 73.2; H, 9.0.

4-(2,2-Dimethyl-1-hydroxypropyl)phenol (10c)  $R_f=0.68$  (hexane/ethyl acetate: 1/4); m.p. 147-150°C (hexane/ether); v (KBr) 3380, 3240 (OH), 3040, 1600 (Ph), 1040, and 1000 cm-1 (C-O);  $\delta_H$  (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>) 0.90 (s, 9 H, 3xCH<sub>3</sub>), 4.00 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 4,30 (s, 1 H, CHO), 6.75, 7.15 (2 d, J=8.45, 4 H, Ph), and 8.20 (s, 1 H, COH); δ<sub>C</sub> (CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub>) 26.4, 36.15, 81.7, 114.7, 129.45, 134.9, and 156.95; m/z 181 (M ++1, 1%), 180  $(M<sup>+</sup>, 9)$ , 124 (18), 123 (100), 95 (37), and 77 (21); Anal. calcd for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 73.30; H, 8.95. Found: C, 73.3; H, 9.2.

*Catalitic Lithiation of Compound 12 and Reaction with Pivalaldehyde. Isolation of compound 13 and Hydrolysis to lOa.-* To a green suspension of hthium powder (14 mmol) and naphthalene (0.06 mmol) m THP (10 ml) was added the compound 1211(2.5 mmol) at -78°C and the mixture was stirred for 45 mm at the same temperature. Then, pivalaldehyde (3.0 mmol) was added and starring was contmued allowing the temperature to nse to 2O'C dunng *ca.* 4 h. The resulting mixture was then worked up as for compounds 2,4 or **10,** yielding *2,2-Dimethyl-I-[2-(2methoxy)methoxyphenyl]-I -propanol (13): R~0.78* (hexanelethyl acetate: 3/2); v (film) 3440 (OH), 3040, 1600 (Ph), 1090, 1080, and 1000 cm-1 (C-O);  $\delta_H$  0.95 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 2.80 (s,1 H, OH), 3.35 (s, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>O), 3.55, 3.75 (2 t, J=4.55, 4 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 4.80 (s, 1 H, CHO), 5.25 (s, 2 H, OCH<sub>2</sub>O), 6.95, 7.12, 7.17, and 7.35 (t, d, t, d, respectively, J=6.85, 7.25, 6.85, 7.25, respectively, 4 H, Ph);  $6<sub>C</sub>$  25.75, 36.25, 58.7, 67.6, 71.35, 93.6, 113.65, 121.0, 127.85, 128.8, 131.0, and 154.65; m/z 268 (M+,  $\langle 1\% \rangle$ , 211 (22), 135 (13), 121 (13), 89 (100), and 59 (70).

A solution of compound 13 (2 mmol) in THF  $(5 \text{ ml})$  was treated with  $6 \text{ N}$  HCl  $(5 \text{ ml})$  and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h, yteldmg after the above described work up compound **1Oa (93 %).** 

*Catalitic Lithiation of Chlaroanilides* **18** *and 25 and Reaction with Electrophiles. General Procedure for Compounds 19, 21, 22, and* X-To a solutton of the correspondmg chloroanihde **18** or 25 (2.0 mmol) in THF (5 ml) at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added a 1.6 M hexane solution of butyllithium (2.0 mmol) and the mixture was stirred for 10 mm. This solution was then added to a green suspension of lithium (14 mmol) and naphthalene (0.23 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at -78°C and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 3-4 h . Then, the correspondmg electrophtle (2.2 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and stirring was continued for *ca.* lh allowmg the temperature to nse to 20°C. In the case of usmg benzonitrile or phenyl isocyanate as electrophtle the excess of lithium powder was filtered off at low temperature prior the addition of the electrophile. The resulting mixture was then worked up as above29 for compounds 2, 4, or **10,** yteldmg compounds 19,21, 22, and 24. Yields are given in Table 5. Analytical, physical, and spectroscopic data follow.

*N-[2-(l-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropyl)phenyl]pivalamide* (19a):  $R<sub>1</sub>$  0.53 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); m.p. 125-126°C (hexane/dichloromethane);  $v$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3300 (NH, OH), 1650 (C=O), and 1515 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_{H}$  0.90 [s, 9 H,  $(CH_3)_3$ CCHOH], 1.23 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CCO], 4 20 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.48 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 6.93, 7.15  $(2 \text{ m}, 3 \text{ H}, \text{ArH})$ , 8.24 (d, J=8.1, 1 H, ArH), and 10.06 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta_C$  26.45, 27.4, 37.3, 39.65, 84.8, 122.0, 122.5, 127.5, 128.6, 130.1, 138.7, and 176.85; m/z 264 (M++l, 4%), 263 (M+, 20), 206 (52), 132 (21). 122 (81) 93 (15), 85 (47) 57 (lOO), and 41 (38). *N-[2-(l-Ethyl-1 -hydroxyethyl)phenyl]pivalamide* **(19b).** *Rt 0.54* (hexanelethyl acetate: 312); m.p. 137-138°C (hexane/dichloromethane);  $v$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3340 (OH, NH), 1650 (C=O), and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.83 (t,

 $J=7.4$ , 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.27 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.92 (m, 4 H, 2xCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.08 (br s, 1 H, OH), 6.95-7.07 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.20 (dt, J=7.0, 0.65, 1 H, ArH), 8.38 (dd, J=8.2, 1.2, 1 H, ArH), and 10.40 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta_C$ 8.0, 27.55, 32.6, 39.8, 80.55, 122.25, 122.65, 126.95, 127.4, 131.1, 138.5, and 176.7; *m/z* 264(M++l, 5%), 263 (M+, 25) 234 (34) 160 (33) 150 (39), 132 (37) 120 (15), 85 (24), 57 (lOO), and 41 (16); Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·1/2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 70.55; H, 9.62; N, 5.14. Found: C, 70.9; H, 9.7; N, 5.1.

*N-[3-(I-Hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)phenyl [pivalamide* (19c)<sup>.</sup> R<sub>f</sub> 0.34 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); syrup; v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3320 (NH, OH), 1650 (C=O), and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.78, 0.96 (2d, J=6.6, 6 H,  $2xCH_3CH$ , 1.29[s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.93 [m, 1 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH], 2.70 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.28 (d, J=6.6, 1 H, CHOH), 7.02 (deformed d, 1 H, ArH), 7.25 (deformed t, 1 H, ArH), 7.42 (deformed d, 1 H, ArH), 7.43 (s, 1 H, ArH), and 7.50 (br s, 1 H, NH),  $\delta_C$  18 0, 19 0, 27.5, 35.1, 39.5, 79.5, 118.4, 119.15, 122.6, 128.5, 137.7, 144.7, and 176.85; *m/z* 250 (M++l, 4%), 249 (M+, 28), 207 (25), 206 (lOO), 94 (20), and 57 (48).

*N-[3-(l-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropyl)phenyl]pivalamide* **(19d):** *RI* 0.43 (hexanelethyl acetate: 312); syrup; v  $(CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$  3430, 3350 (NH, OH), 1655 (C=O), and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.91 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>CCHOH], 1.29 [s, 9 H, (CH3)3CCO], 2.41 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.30 (s, 1 H, *CHOH), 7.00* (d, *J=7.7,* 1 H, ArH), 7.21 (t.

J=7.7, 1 H, ArH), 7.40 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.45 (d, J=7.7, 1 H, ArH), and 7.47 (s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta_C$  25.9, 27.5, 35.5, 39.5, 81.95, 119.0, 119.4, 123.65, 127.85, 137.3, 143.2, and 176.65; m/z 264 (M++1, 2%), 263 (M+. 12), 208 (17), 207 (100), 206 (91), 94 (25), 77 (12), 57 (87), and 41 (30).

N-/3-(1-Hydroxycyclohexyl)phenyl]pivalamide (19e):  $R_f$  0.37 (hexane/ethyl acetate : 3/2); m.p. 130°C (hexane/dichloromethane);  $v$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3350 (NH, OH), 1650 (C=O), and 1525 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  1.30 [s, 9] H,  $(CH_3)_3C$ , 1.52-1.90 (m, 10 H, 5xCH<sub>2</sub>), 2.11 (br s, 1 H, OH), 7.19-7.29 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.42 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.44 (br s, 1 H, NH), and 7.66 (m, 1 H, ArH);  $\delta_C$  22.0, 25.35, 27.5, 38.65, 39.5, 73.05, 116.6, 118.3, 120.5, 128.65, 137.8, 150.55, and 176.65; m/z 276 (M++1, 8%), 275 (M+, 46), 232 (75), and 57 (100). Anal. calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·1/2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 71.80; H, 9.21; N, 4.92. Found: C, 71.9; H, 9.2; N, 4.8.

3-Benzoylpivalanilide (19f):  $R_f$  0.50 (hexane/ethyl acetate : 3/2); m.p. 139°C (hexane/dichloromethane);  $\nu$ (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3440, 3360 (NH), 1650 (C=O), and 1520 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  1.32 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 7.39-7.51 (m, 4 H, ArH), 7.50 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.59 (deformed t, 1 H, ArH), 7.78 (s, 1 H, ArH), 7.80 (deformed d, 2 H, ArH), and 8.00 (deformed d, 1 H, ArH);  $\delta$  27.55, 39.65, 121.0, 124.15, 127.85, 128.3, 128.9, 130.05, 132.55, 137.3, 138.25, 138.3, 176.8 and 196.3; m/z 282 (M++1, 5%), 281 (M+, 30), 197 (42), 120 (11), 105 (31), 77 (36), 57 (100), and 41 (20). Anal. calcd for  $C_{18}H_{19}NO_2$ : C, 76.84; H, 6.81; N, 4.97. Found: C, 76.7; H, 6.8; N, 4.9.

N-[4-(1-Hydroxy-2-methylpropyl)phenyl]pivalamide (19g):  $R_f$  0.31 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2);  $\vee$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3420 (NH, OH), 1650 (C=O), and 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.76, 0.97 (2d, J=6.7, 6 H, 2xCH<sub>3</sub>CH), 1.30 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.91 [m, 1 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH], 2.26 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.30 (d, J=6.9, 1 H, CHOH), 7.21, 7.46 (2d, J=8.5, 4 H, ArH), and 7.45 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta$  (2 18.2, 18.8, 27.5, 35.15, 39.45, 76.4, 119.85, 127.0, 137.0, 139.5, and 176.7; m/z 249 (M+, 5%), 207 (14), 206 (100), 123 (23), 122 (26), 94 (10), 77(10), 57 (41), and 41 (13). Anal. calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.25; H, 9.30; N, 5.62. Found: C, 71.3; H, 9.3; N, 5.4. N-[4-(1-Hydroxy-2,2-dimethylpropyl)phenyl]pivalamide (19h): R<sub>f</sub> 0.36 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); m.p. 158-159°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3400 (NH, OH), 1640 (C=O), and 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.89 [s, 9 H,  $(CH_3)_3CCHOH$ ], 1.30 [s, 9 H,  $(CH_3)_3CO$ ], 2.10 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.34 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 7.22, 7.45

(2d, J=7.5, 4 H, ArH), and 7.41 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta$  25.8, 27.55, 35.6, 39.5, 81.85, 119.15, 128.0, 137.0, 138.05, and 176.6; m/z 263 (M+, 6%), 207 (28), 206 (100), 123 (34), 122 (33), 94 (15), 77(11), and 57 (64). Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 72.97; H, 9.57; N, 5.32. Found: C, 72.5; H, 9.6; N, 5.4.

 $N-f4-(1-Ethyl-1-hydroxyethyl)phenylipivalamide (19i): R<sub>f</sub> 0.36 (hexane/ethyl acetate : 3/2); m.p. 165-166°C$ (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3425, 3300 (NH, OH), 1640 (C=O), and 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  0.74 (t,  $J=7.4$ , 6 H,  $2xCH_3CH_2$ ), 1.31 [s, 9 H,  $(CH_3)_3Cl$ , 1.81 (m, 4 H,  $2xCH_2$ ), 1.81 (br s, 1 H, OH), 7.31, 7.49 (2d, J=8.6, 4 H, ArH), and 7.40 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta_C$  7.85, 27.7, 35.0, 39.6, 77.3, 119.7, 126.15, 136.25, 141.75, and 176.65; m/z 245 (M<sup>+</sup>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 73%), 161 (28), 160 (19), 132 (39), and 57 (100). Anal. calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>·1/2 H<sub>2</sub>O: C, 70.55; H, 9.62; N, 5.14. Found: C, 70.7; H, 9.7; N, 5.1.

4-Benzoylpivalanilide (19j): R<sub>f</sub> 0.45 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); m.p. 128-129°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3345 (NH), 1640 (C=O), and 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup> (NH, C-N);  $\delta_H$  1.32 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 7.45 (t, J=7.6, 2 H, ArH), 7.56 (t, J=7.6, 1 H, ArH), 7.65-7.85 (m, 6 H, ArH), and 7.97 (br s, 1H, NH);  $\delta_C$  27.3, 39.7, 119.05, 128.1, 129.65, 131.3, 132.05, 132.55, 137.7, 142.2, 177.1, and 195.7; m/z 282 (M++1, 5%), 281 (M+, 24), 197 (21), 120 (29), 77 (17), 57 (100), and 41 (15). Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C, 76.84; H, 6.81; N, 4.98. Found: C, 76.8; H, 6.8; N, 5.0.

2-tert-Butyl-4-phenylquinazoline (21): R<sub>f</sub> 0 68 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); m.p. 96°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl3) 1610, 1560, and 1540 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=N, C=C);  $\delta$ <sub>H</sub> 1.55 [s, 9 H, (CH3)3C], 7.45-7.55, 7.78-7.83, and 8 03-8.08 (3 m, 9 H, ArH);  $\delta$ C 29.7, 39.6, 120.85, 126.4, 126.65, 128.4, 128.9, 129.6, 130.2, 132.85, 137.95, 151.4, 167.35, and 172.85; m/z 263 (M++1, 12%), 262 (M+, 50), 261 (21), 247 (100), 220 (48), 205 (20), 123 (11), and 77 (13). Anal. calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>: C, 82.41; H, 6.92; N, 10.68. Found: C, 82.4; H, 7.0;

# N, 10.6.

2-Phenylaminocarbonylpivalanilida (22):  $R_f$  0.48 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 3/2); m.p. 227°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3420, 3300 (NH), 1650 (C=O), and 1510 cm<sup>-1</sup> (N-H, C-N);  $\delta_H$  1.33 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 7.00 (t, J=7.6, 1 H, ArH), 7.19 (t, J=7.4, 1 H, ArH), 7.31 (t, J=7.9, 1 H, ArH), 7.40 (t, J=7.6, 2 H, ArH), 7.49 (d, J=7.9, 1 H, ArH), 7.71 (d, J=8.1, 2 H, ArH), 8.37 (d, J=8.4, 1 H, ArH), 8.61 (br s, 1 H, NH), and 10.90 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta$  27.55, 40.0, 120.5, 122.0, 122.15, 122.8, 124.8, 126.95, 129.10, 132.1, 137.85, 139.25, 167.15, and 178.0; m/z 239 (M<sup>+</sup>-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>, 1%), 204 (28), 146 (10), 120 (22), 93 (100), 92 (12), 90 (11), 65 (13), 57 (48), and 41 (25). Anal. calcd for  $C_{18}H_{20}N_2O_2$ : C, 72.95; H, 6.80; N, 9.45. Found: C, 72.4; H. 6.8: N. 9.2. 4-Phenyl-2-(IH)-quinazolinone (24)<sup>16b</sup>: R<sub>f</sub> 0.28 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 1/4); m.p. 262-263°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (Nujol) 3140 (NH), 1650, and 1590 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O, C=N, C=C);  $\delta_H$  7.22-7.27, 7.53-7.75 (2 m, 6 H, ArH), 7.80-7.90 (2 d, J=6.8, 8.2, 3 H, ArH), and  $\approx$ 9.50 (br s, 1 H, NH);  $\delta_C$  115.35, 116.8, 123.05, 128.4, 128.8, 129.8, 130.75, 135.3, 136.5, 143.4, 158.35, and 176.65; m/z 224 (M++2, 29%), 223 (M++1, 30), 222  $(M<sup>+</sup>, 53)$ , 221 (100), 180 (22), 147 (87), 77(36), 63 (13), 51 (24), and 50 (12). Anal. calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O; C. 75.66; H, 4.54; N, 12.60. Found: C, 747; H, 4.5; N, 12.4

Reaction of 2-Chloropivalanilide (180) with an Excess of Butyllithium. Isolation of 2-Butylpivalanilide (23).- The reaction was carried out as it was described for compounds 19, starting from 180 but using an excess of butyllithium (1:2.5 molar ratio) at -78°C with or without lithium powder/naphthalene cat.<sup>30</sup>, and hydrolyzed and worked up as for compounds 19 to yield the title compound 23:  $R_1$  0.70 (hexane/ethyl acetate: 4/1); m.p. 71-72°C (hexane/dichloromethane); v (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3300 (NH) and 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup> (C=O);  $\delta_H$  0.95 (t, J=7.2, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.34 [s, 9 H, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C], 1.39, 1.58 (2 m, 4 H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.56 (t, J=7.7, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>Ar), 7.05-7.26 (m, 3 H, Ar), 7.30 (br s, 1 H, NH), and 7.88 (d, J=8.0, 1 H, ArH);  $\delta_C$  13.95, 22.7, 27.7, 31.45, 32.05, 39.7, 123.35, 124.95, 126.75, 129.55, 133.2, 135.3, and 176.15;  $m/z$  234 (M++1, 7%), 233 (M+, 45), 204 (33), 176 (58), 106 (77), and 57 (100).

### Acknowledgment

This work was financially supported by the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (DGICYT, no. PB88-0287) from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (MEC), A, G, and D, J, R, thank the MEC of Spain and the Conselleria de Cultura, Educació i Ciència de la Generalitat Valenciana, respectively, for fellowships.

### **References and Notes**

- For a review, see: Nájera, C.; Yus, M. Functionalized Organolithium Compounds in Synthetic Organic  $\mathbf{1}$ . Chemistry. In Trends in Organic Chemistry; Menon, J., Ed.; Council of Scientific Research Investigation: Trivandrun, in press.
- For a review on bromine/lithium exchange, see: Parham, W. E.; Bradsher, C. K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982,  $2.$ 15, 300-305.
- 3. For reviews on *o*-lithiation, see: (a) Beak, P.; Smeckus, V. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 306-312. (b) Beak, P.; Meyers, A. I. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 356-363. (c) Snieckus, V.; Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 879-933.
- 4. For a recent theoretical study on o-lithiation, see: van Eikema Hommes, N. J. R.; von Ragué Schleyer, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 755-758.
- 5. For recent accounts, see, for instance: (a) Baran, J. R.; Hendrickson, C.; Laude, D. A.; Lagow, R. J. J. Org. Chem 1992, 57, 3759-3760. (b) Freeman, P. K.; Ramnath, N. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3646-3651.
- 6. Yus, M.; Ramón, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 398-400.
- 7. For recent reports on this field, see: (a) Yus, M.; Ramón, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 750-751. (b) Ramón, D. J.; Yus, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 2217-2220.
- 8. For lithiation of chlorobenzenes by hydrogen/lithium exchange, see, for instance: Iwao, M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1990,55, 3622-3627.
- 9. (a) Bates, R. B.; Kropcskt. L. M.; Potter, D. E. *J. Org. Chem.* 1972,37,560-562. (b) Mills, N. S.; Shapiro, J.; Hollingsworth. M. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1981,103, 1263-1264.
- 10.  $o$ -Dilithiobenzene has been prepared by mercury/lithum transmetallation from  $o$ -phenylenemercury: Wittig, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. *Chem. Ber.* 1958,91, *883-894.*
- 11. Gilman, H.; Gorsich, R. D. *J. Am. Gem. Sot.* 1956,78. 2217-2222.
- 12. For some examples of o-lithiation of phenol derivatives, see, for instance: (a) Gilman, H.; Bebb, R. L. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1939.61, 109112. (b) Witttg, G.; Fuhrman. G. Chem. *Ber.* 1940,73, 1197-1218. (c) Ng, G. P.; Dawson, C. R. *J. Org. Gem.* 1978, 43,3205-3208. (d) Achenbach, H.; Kohe. W.; Kunce, B. *Chem. Ber.* 1979,112, 1841-1848. (e) Kraus, G. A.; Dezzanite, J. 0. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979,44, 2480- 2482. (f) Towsend, C. A.; Bloom, L. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1981,22, 3923-3924. (g) Winkle, M. R.; Ronald, R. C. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982.47, 2101-2108. (h) Sibi, M. P.; Snieckus. V. *J. Org. Chem.* 1983. 48, 19351937. (i) Posner, G. H.; Canella, K. A. *J. Am. Gem. Sot.* 1985, 107.2571-2573. (j) Sa& J. M.; Llobera, A.; Garcia-Raso, A.; Costa, A.; Dey&, P. M. *J. Org.* Chem.1988.53, 4263-4273. (k) Coil, G.; Morey. J.; Costa, A.; Sa& J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1988, 53,5345-5348. (1) Guillaumet, G.; Hretani, M.; Coudert, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988.29, 475476. (m) Katsoulos. G.; Takagishi, S.; Shlosser. M. *Synlett* 1991, 731-732.
- 13. For some examples of hthtated phenol derivatives obtained by bromine/Iithium exchange, see, for Instance: (a) Hart, H.; Lai, C.; Nwokogu, G.; Shamouihan, S.; Teuerstein, A.; Zlotogotski, C. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.*  1980,102, *6651-6652.* (b) Talley, J. J. *Synthesis* 1983.845847. (c) Talley, J. J.; Evans, I. A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1984, 49,5267-5269. (d) Uhich, F.-W.; Breitmaier, E. *Synthesis* 1987, 951-953. (e) Green, K. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991,56, 4325-4326.
- 14. Fuhrer, W.; Gschwend, H. W. *J. Org. Chem.* 1979.44, 1133-l 136.
- 15. The reaction works also wtth BusLi and BuiLi, but with lower yields. In the case of using MeLi no couphng reaction occurs.
- 16. For some *o*-lithiated anilides obtained by deprotonation, see, for instance: (a) reference 3. (b) Muchowski, J. M.; Venuti, M. C. *J. Org. Chem.* 1980.45.4798-4801. (b) Cho, I.-S.; Gong, L.; Muchowsh, J. M. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991,56,728%7291. (d) Katritzky, A. R.; Black, M.; Fau, W.-Q. *J. Org.* Chem. 1991, 56,5045-5048.
- 17. See, for instance: hang, J. B.; Hessen, D. P.; Dusak, B. A.; Dexter, D. L.; Kang, G. J.; Hamel, E. *J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, 33, 1721-1728, and references cited therein.
- 18. In this case the lithiation temperature was *ca*. -40°C.
- 19. Ishizumi. K.; Mori. K.; Yamamoto, M.; Koshtba. M.; Inaba, S.; Yamamoto, H. Ger. Patent 2 345 030. 1974. *Chem. Abstr.* 1974. 80, 146193p.
- 20. Good, N. E. *Plant. Physiol.* 1961, 36, 788803. *Chem. Abstr.* 1962, *56, 77141.*
- 21. Tamme, M.; Haldna, V.; Kuura, H. *Reakts. Sposobnost Org. Soedin.* 1972, 9.637-653. *Chem. Abstr.*  1973, 79, 17876u.
- 22. Trost, B. M.; Pearson, W. H. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1981, 103, 2483-2485.*
- 23. Kogon, I. C. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1956,78, 4911-4914.
- 24. For compounds 4e and 4e, see footnote h m Table 2.
- 25. Pickard, S. T.; Smith, H. E. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* 1990, *112, 5741-5747.*
- 26. *Dictionary of Organic Compounds;* Chapman & Hall: New York; vol. 5, p 4613.
- 27. Fischer, A.; Stedman, D. I.; Vaughan, J. *J. Chem. Sot.* 1963, 751-753.
- 28. Rosmi, C.; Bertucci, C.; Pim, D.; Altemura, P.; Salvadori, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1985**, 26, 3361-3364.
- 29. Dlchloromethane was used Instead of diethyl ether for the extractive work-up.
- 30. In the presence of an excess of hthium powder and a catalytic amount of naphthalene the process **IS** far more rapid *(ca.* 0.5 h) than m the absence of the metal *(cu.* 1 d).